Simulation of convective development with bin scheme: LBA case study to investigate the interaction between dynamics and microphysics

Noémi Sarkadi¹, István Geresdi¹, Lulin Xue²

¹Univ. Pécs, Hungary, <u>sarkadin@gamma.ttk.pte.hu</u>; <u>geresdi@gamma.ttk.pte.hu</u>

²NCAR, Boulder, CO, USA, <u>xuel@ucar.edu</u>



PÉCSI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM UNIVERSITY OF PECS



Outline

- Bin microphysics (UPNB)
- Piggybacking methodology (briefly)
- LBA case setup
- Results
- Conclusions

Bin microphysics scheme (UPNB)

- Four different hydrometeor types
- Each type of the different particles were divided into 36 bins:

	Ice crystal	Water drop		Snowflake	Graupel
		Cloud water	Raindrop	-	particle
Min. size (m)	2.06·10 ⁻⁶	1.56·10 ⁻⁶	2.50·10 ⁻⁵	2.06·10 ⁻⁶	3.37·10 ⁻⁶
Max. size (m)	0.38	2.50·10 ⁻⁵	1.02.10-2	7.85·10 ⁻²	5.08·10 ⁻³

 Calculated variables: ~400, mixing ratio, number concentration, melted water on snow and graupel (mixing ratio), rimed water on snow (number and mixing ratio)

 \rightarrow melting fraction calculated (0.8)

 \rightarrow riming fraction calculated (0.5)

Bin microphysics scheme (UPNB)

- The following microphysical processes were taken into consideration:
 - 1) Diffusional growth of different type of hydrometeors;
 - 2) Melting of solid hydrometeors;
 - 3) Freezing of supercooled water drops;
 - 4) Collision and coalescence of water drops;
 - 5) Self-coagulation of pristine ice crystals results in snowflakes;
 - 6) Self-coagulation of snowflakes increases the mass of snowflakes;
 - 7) Riming;
 - 8) Breakup of water drops;
 - 9) Formation of pristine ice crystals by deposition nucleation or condensational freezing;
 - 10) Sedimentation;
 - 11) Collision-induced shedding



LBA case setup

- WRF (Weather Research and Forecasting) v3.7.1
- Idealized LES simulation
- Prescribed random perturbations on:
 - moisture field
 - temperature field
 - surface heat flux
 - surface moisture flux
- Wind relaxation (60 minutes) (see: http://box.mmm.ucar.edu/gcss-wg4/gcss/case4.html)



All type was run with D-POL/P-PRI and D-PRI/P-POL

	CTRL	Temperure (T)	Moisture (Q)	Member ₁ (E _{0.25})	Member ₂ (E _{0.5})
Domain size (horizontal)	126×126	126×126	126×126	126×126	126×126
Horizontal resolution	400 m	400 m	400 m	400 m	400 m
Domain size (vertical)	81 (stretched grid)	81 (stretched grid)	81 (stretched grid)	81 (stretched grid)	81 (stretched grid)
Vertical resolution	<1 km ~ 100m, > ~ 200 m	<1 km ~ 100m, > ~ 200 m	<1 km ~ 100m, > ~ 200 m	<1 km ~ 100m, > ~ 200 m	<1 km ~ 100m, > ~ 200 m
Simulation time	12 h	12 h	12 h	12 h	12 h
Time step	3 sec.	3 sec.	3 sec.	3 sec.	3 sec.
Microphysics	Bin with modified surface heat and moisture fluxes	Bin with modified surface heat and moisture fluxes	Bin with modified surface heat and moisture fluxes	Bin with modified surface heat and moisture fluxes	Bin with modified surface heat and moisture fluxes
Order of perturbation	Initial temperture: ± 0.1 K random perturbation Initial moisture: ± 0.1 g/kg random pert. In every 15 minutes: temperature and moisture: ± 0.1 K and ± 0.1 g/kg random pert. Heat and moisture flux: ± 10% random pert.	Initial temperture: ± 1 K random perturbation Initial moisture: ± 0.1 g/kg random pert. In every 15 minutes: temperature and moisture: ± 0.1 K and ± 0.1 g/kg random pert. Heat and moisture flux: ± 10% random pert.	Initial temperture: ± 0.1 K random perturbation Initial moisture: ± 1 g/kg random pert. In every 15 minutes: temperature and moisture: ± 0.1 K and ± 0.1 g/kg random pert. Heat and moisture flux: ± 10% random pert.	Initial temperture: ± 0.25 K random perturbation Initial moisture: ± 0.25 g/kg random pert. In every 15 minutes: temperature and moisture: ± 0.1 K and ± 0.1 g/kg random pert. Heat and moisture flux: ± 10% random pert.	Initial temperture: ± 0.5 K random perturbation Initial moisture: ± 0.5 g/kg random pert. In every 15 minutes: temperature and moisture: ± 0.1 K and ± 0.1 g/kg random pert. Heat and moisture flux: ± 10% random pert.

Bin microphysics scheme (UPNB) – CCN

• CCN concentrations for POL and PRI cases:

POL (continental) ~ 1000 cc	Supersa	PRI (maritime) ~ 100 cc	
NCCN = 316.e4*SS ^{4.0} *1.e6	SS < 0.1	SS < 0.1	NCCN = 4.78e5*SS ^{0.4} *1.e6
NCCN = SQRT(SS)*1.e9	SS >= 0.1 & SS < 1.0	SS >= 0.1 & SS < 0.63	NCCN = 120.0*SS ^{0.4} *1.e6
NCCN = 1.e9	SS >= 1.0	SS >= 0.63	NCCN = 1.e8



Cloud fraction



Supersaturation



Precipitation (domain mean)



Precipitation (domain mean)



 $D_{POL} - P_{PRI} > D_{PRI} - P_{POL}$

CCN activation vs. supersaturation



4/15/2019

Cloud water/rain and vertical velocity





D-PRI supersaturation (%)

4/15/2019

Workshop on Eulerian vs. Lagrangian methods for cloud

microphysics

Bouyancy



microphysics

Microphysics – Cloud water



4/15/2019

Microphysics – Rain



Microphysics – Pristine ice



4/15/2019

Microphysics – Snow



Microphysics – Graupel



4/15/2019

Workshop on Eulerian vs. Lagrangian methods for cloud microphysics

20

Conclusions

- Similar difference in precipitation like in GM16*, but opposite sign.
- No significant effect on microphysicsal, dynamical fields of the perturbations of the initial field.
- Pristine supersaturation > polluted supersaturation.
- CCN concentration impact cloud water, dynamics (driver) affects ice microphysics

• Further plans:

- investigation of the size distributions
- different model (differences caused due to microphysics or due to different representation of dynamics?)
- updated version of bin scheme (different description of CCN activation and cloud water formation)

"Acknowledgement: This material is based on work supported by the National Center of Meteorology, Abu Dhabi, UAE under the UAE Research Program for Rain Enhancement Science. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Center of Meteorology, Abu Dhabi, UAE, funder of the research."

Thank you for your attention! Questions?